Impact study of scatterometer winds on heavy rain forecast in the JMA's regional forecast model **IOKA Yusuke¹**

1. Introduction

- In Japan, heavy rainfall events related to fronts or typhoons often cause water-related disasters during warm season. Realistic representation of wind convergence and distribution of water vapor field is one of the important factors for accurate heavy rain forecast.
- Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA) operates global and regional numerical weather prediction (NWP) systems to support the shortto medium-range weather forecasts.
- JMA started to consider using HY-2B and -2C wind products(HSCAT winds) by OSI-SAF[1] in the operational global and regional data assimilation.
- The impact of using HSCAT winds have been verified by observing system experiments (OSEs) with the latest mesoscale NWP system of JMA.

2. Overview of Pre-Analysis Procedure	
Flag check	
	flagged data
Gross error check	
	Reject large O-B data
Group QC	Reject large IO-BL averaged by an area including simil
	vector observation Prevent over rejection in and around severe weather c
Ambiguity removal	
	Select the closest wind to JMA's forecast by median filt nudging
Thinning	
	0.5 deg x 0.5 deg box Eliminate spatial observation error correlation
	Reduce calculation cost Overlapping data rejection

Fig.1 Pre-Analysis Procedure for Scatterometer Winds on JMA's NWP Systems

This pre-analysis procedure is same as of operational pre-analysis procedure for ASCAT winds. This pre-analysis procedure is also applied to HSCAT wind products in this experiment.

3. Specification of the experiment

- Control experiment (CNTL)
- -Same as Jun. 2023 operational assimilation datasets are used. As scatterometer winds, Metop-B,C/ASCAT winds are assimilated. –Same as of Jun. 2023 mesoscale assimilation system of JMA [2]
- HSCAT assimilation experiment(TEST) -HY-2B,C/HSCAT winds are added to operational assimilation datasets. As scatterometer winds, Metop-B,C/ASCAT and HY-2B,C/HSCAT are assimilated. –Preprocessing system is unchanged from CNTL.
- •Experiment and verification period -Analysis : From 27 May 2023 to 15 Aug. 2023 -Forecast : From 1 Jun. 2023 to 15 Aug. 2023 -Statistical verification: From 1 Jul. 2023 to 31 Jul 2023

1: Office of Numerical Prediction Modeling, Japan Meteorological Agency, y_ioka@met.kishou.go.jp

reject

lar wind

condition

ter after

Summary

- JMA has tested the data assimilation of HY-2B and -2C wind product on the latest mesoscale NWP systems by OSEs.
- Assimilated data numbers of scatterometer has been increased approximately 1.5 times by using HSCAT winds.
- Assimilated HSCAT winds are consistent to the model and characteristics of bias and standard deviation are similar to ASCAT winds.
- Increasing of assimilated data leads improvement of first-guess field on surface and lower layer elements and forecast skills on surface features.
- By using of HSCAT winds, forecast of the heavy rain area distribution on the typhoon event shows improvements due to direct effect of assimilation and indirect effect through the analysis cycle.



Assimilated data distribution (Fig.2) shows increase of scatterometer assimilation area coverage by using HSCAT wind product in the specific time. TEST experiment shows that HSCAT wind product (cyan and pink) cover the coverage of the pacific ocean. HY-2 series satellite path through the regional analysis area at different time from Metop series satellite due to difference of the orbit. It causes difference of passing time over the regional analysis region therefore time slots that assimilated scatterometer dataset exist are also increased.

Investigation of data quality of HSCAT winds (Fig.3) shows that HSCAT wind product is consistent to the model and characteristics of bias and standard deviation (STDV) are similar to ASCAT winds(Table 1). Statistics of assimilation also show that assimilated data number of scatterometer has increased approximately 1.5 times. By adding HSCAT winds, accuracy of first-guess field on test experiment becomes better than control experiment especially wind field (Fig. 4).

Verification of forecast (Fig.5) shows improvements of forecast skills on surface wind field. Forecast skills of other surface features such as surface pressure, mixing ratio, temperature(not shown) also shows improvements.



Khanun is shown on the Okinawa 5 Aug. 2023 Typhoon Khanun 2023(Fig.6) caused heavy rainfall event on Okinawa. Kumejimaisland AMeDAS station observed 245 mm/day on 5th Aug. 2023. In the TEST experiment, HY-2B was assimilated around western area of the Pacific Ocean and

East China Sea on 12UTC analysis(Fig.7). On the forecast step 6h from 12UTC on 5th Aug., comparison with Radar-Raingauge Analyzed Precipitation(R/A) indicates that TEST experiment shows more consistent distribution of typhoon rainfall compared to CNTL(Fig.8).

Reference

islands.

[1] OSI SAF, 2021: HSCAT Winds at 25 km Swath Grid - Hai Yang 2B, EUMETSAT SAF on Ocean and Sea Ice, DOI: 10.15770/EUM_SAF_OSI_NRT_2000. http://doi.org/10.15770/EUM_SAF_OSI_NRT_2000 [2] Japan Meteorological Agency, 2024: Outline of the operational numerical weather prediction at the Japan Meteorological Agency. https://www.jma.go.jp/jma/jma-eng/jma-center/nwp/outline2024-nwp/index.htm

③ 気象庁 Japan Meteorological Agency

Fig.8 shows that forecast of distribution on typhoon related area of surface wind field and surface pressure field are also different between CNTL and TEST.

To separate the causes of these differences into those due to direct effect of the initial analysis and those due to indirect effect through the assimilation cycle, an additional experiment which uses the same first guess as TEST but HSCAT winds are not assimilated(TEST2) was conducted.

Right panel of Fig.9 shows the difference between TEST and TEST2 (TEST-TEST2) forecast fields(T+6) for EPT on 925hPa. It indicates

direct effect of assimilation causes difference mainly around fringe of the typhoon area because HSCAT winds are not assimilated around central part of typhoon due to strong wind speed

scatterometer data between 09 - 12 UTC Left panel of Fig.9 also shows the difference between TEST and CNTL(CNTL-TEST) forecast fields(T+6) for EPT on 925hPa. Differences between TEST and CNTL include direct effect of assimilation and indirect effect through the analysis cycle. Compared to right panel, it indicates indirect effect through the analysis cycle affects to central part of typhoon area.

> Therefore, Fig.9 shows that both of effect of improvement through the assimilation cycle and direct effect of assimilated datasets play an important role for the difference between TEST and CNTL on this typhoon event.



