



Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Instituut Ministerie van Infrastructuur en Waterstaat



A COMPARASION OF QUALITY INDICATORS FOR KU-BAND WIND SCATTEROMETRY & FOR TYPHOONS LEKIMA AND KROSA

<u>Xingou Xu</u>, Ad Stoffelen[⊠], Marcos Portabella, Wenming Lin, Xiaolong Dong

ad.stoffelen@knmi.nl

IOVWST-2021, Online, Feb.-March

- 1 Introduction & Survey of Quality Control Indicators
- 2 Data Descriptions
- 3 Rain Screening Ability of Indicators
- 4 Indicators in Lekima and Krosa
- 5 Discussions

- 1 Introduction & Survey of Quality Control Indicators
- 2 Data Descriptions
- 3 Rain Screening Ability of Indicators
- 4 Indicators in Lekima and Krosa
- 5 Discussions



NRCS measurements and their noise are mapped to ocean surface vector winds by inverting a Geophysical Model Function (GMF). The GMF is inaccurate or otherwise does not represent the NRCS measurements, e.g., due to rain processes at Ku band. This causes uncertainty in the vector, but also a class of unrepresentative vector winds with high error. Quality Control indicators are developed to find this class of unrepresentative winds. QC indicators have a Probability of Detection (POD), but are not perfect and include a false alarm rate (FAR). The QC optimum between POD and FAR may depend on the user application.

We assume that the convolved NRCS footprints used in the retrieval provide the spatial resolution (~25 km) of a Wind Vector Cell (WVC). Since temporal changes in the mean wind in a WVC are small over the measurement time window of the contributing NRCSs, typically a few minutes, we assume that the temporal acquisition is instantaneous. This provides a clear definition of the scatterometer space and time representation. In validation we confront these winds with buoy or model winds, which have a different resolution and hence for validation we need to account for the representativeness error.

Survey of Quality Control (QC) Indicators : MLE



MLE: Weighted Euclidian distance to the cone

$$MLE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{\left(\sigma_{i}^{o} - \sigma_{sim_{i}}\right)^{2}}{\left(K_{pi} \bullet \sigma_{i}\right)^{2}}$$

 σ_i^o is the ith NRCS of the N NRCSs within a Wind Vector Cell (WVC), K_{pi} represents the variance of σ_i^o in it. σ_{sim_i} is from a wind GMF using observing geometry and local wind vector information.

(Marcos Portabella and Ad Stoffelen, 2006)

Measurement and Geophysical Model Function (GMF) uncertainties:

Are generally small (~2%), but reproduceable or systematic;

- In NRCS calibration lead to wind vector errors;
- In bias term of GMF may lead to wind speed PDF variations;
- In harmonic terms of the GMF may lead to wind direction errors;
- Systematic wind speed errors have associated wind direction errors and vice versa;
- In missed or incompletely modelled processes, such as rain, wind variability, sea state, etc., generate errors of QC class;

These latter errors often result in large deviations from the GMF, hence cone, defined by $NPX\Sigma$ sim,i for changing speed and direction of the stress-equivalent wind.



Singularity Exponents (SE) express the evaluation of spatial derivatives, which may be associated to the noise in the smallest scales due to unresolved signal from inadequate measurements, GMF, inversion, or wind direction ambiguity removal (MSS). Since atmospheric wind turbulence at the scatterometer scales is 3D it display well-defined power-law behavior and spatial heterogeneity or singularities may be detected by the SE. Rain clouds are in particular spatially heterogeneous. Negative SEs correspond to either local wind speed drops or peaks, as it does not make distinction between these, since it just triggers on local gradient amplitude.



Like SE, a Joss field also expressed the evaluation of spatial derivatives due to local perturbations, which may be associated to the noise in the smallest scales due to unresolved signal from inadequate measurements, GMF, inversion, or wind direction ambiguity removal (MSS). Rain clouds are in particular spatially heterogeneous and generally cause negative Joss for wind speeds below 15 m/s.

Introduction & Survey of Quality Control (QC) Indicators



typical months identying ITCZ features

(W. Timothy Liu and Xiaosu Xie, 2002)



•

Tropical moist convection causes both extreme convergence (updrafts) and divergence (downdrafts) This figure shows wind divergence calculated for the test case (an ASCAT pass over the tropical mid-Atlantic). (Gregory P. King, Marcos Portabella, Wenming Lin and Ad Stoffelen, 2017)

- *Rains are typical examples for heterogenous cause uncertainties, which are captured by inicators.*
 - Rain features with wind are observed from scatterometers.
- Wind & rain in ITCZ are important in:
 - Nowcasting of rain and Tropical cyclones (TC);
 - Understanding the Hadley circulation;
 - Tropical and sub-tropical interactions for climate research.
 (David J. Raymond, 1999; Talia Tamarin-Brodsky, Kevin Hodges, B. J. Hoskins -5and Theodore G. Shepherd, 2020)

- 1 Introduction & Survey of Quality Control Indicators
- 2 Data Descriptions
- 3 Rain Screening Ability of Indicators
- 4 Indicators in Lekima and Krosa
- 5 Discussions



Left: If both ASCAT and collocated ScatSat (OSCAT-2) winds are accepted, then they have a 0-centered and narrow wind speed difference PDF. Rejected ScatSat winds (ASCAT accepted) provide rather skewed differences with respect to ASCAT due to the presence of rain clouds. Right: Collocated ASCAT and ScatSat winds may be further collocated with rain products from GPM or MSG. Spatial convolution of the rain products with the scatterometer resolution cell enhances the correspondence between the products.



OSCAT (left) and CSCAT (right) show very similar joint distributions of GPM rain, Joss and 2DVAR wind speed. Hence the same Joss QC thresholds can be applied (white line).

- 1 Introduction & Survey of Quality Control Indicators
- 2 Data Descriptions
- 3 Rain Screening Ability of Indicators
- 4 Indicators in Lekima and Krosa
- 5 Discussions







•



Horizontal axis are evenly binned indicators, vertical axis are corresponding mean values of GPM rain rates in each bin. The steeper of the curve while closer to y-axis, indicate better POD of rain of the indicator.

- 1 Introduction & Survey of Quality Control Indicators
- 2 Data Descriptions
- 3 Rain Screening Ability of Indicators
- 4 Indicators in Lekima and Krosa
- 5 Discussions





- 1 Introduction & Survey of Quality Control Indicators
- 2 Data Descriptions
- 3 Rain Screening Ability of Indicators
- 4 Indicators in Lekima and Krosa
- 5 Discussions

Information is the resolution of uncertainty. -- Claude Shannon

- *MLE* and *J*_{oss} indicators are relatively independent from each other, and show different features in rain screening.
- The combined application of them results in a better rain labelling.
- The cases of Kekima and Krosa have demonstrated the application potential by further qualifying the indicators and relating them to rain to better resolve the accurate winds.
- *SE* and *J*_{oss} are similar indicators of spatial heterogeneity in scatterometer wind fields, but the wind speed depression measured by *J*_{oss} is a more unique indicator of rain than *SE*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank:

- Application Service (NSOAS) of China
- The Royal Netherlands Meteorology Institute (KNMI)
- The European Organization for the Exploitation of Meteorological Satellites (EUMETSAT)
- The European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF)
- The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)
- The Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA)

-- For the provision of the data products

-15-

