Effect of sampling difference on satellite-buoy wind consistency: an assessment based on WRF models

Tony Lee NASA Jet Propulsion Lab, California Institute of Technology Larry O'Neill Oregon State University Longtao Wu NASA Jet Propulsion Lab, California Institute of Technology Adam Wang University of California Los Angeles

Special thanks to Dr. Claire Vincent of University of Melbourne for providing data link for output from one of the WRF models

© 2019 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged

Background

- Understanding the consistency of satellite & buoy winds is important to satellite wind cal/val, observing system design (e.g., TPOS2020), and wind synthesis (e.g., CCMP)
- Satellite & buoy winds have good consistency (~1 m/s) for rain-free conditions, but have significantly larger differences under rainy conditions.
- Possible reasons:
 - Rain contamination of satellite winds
 - Buoy wind measurement issues
 - Spatial/temporal sampling differences (e.g., satellite footprint averages vs pointwise buoy winds)



Courtesy of Larry O'Neill, OSU

Background

- Understanding the consistency of satellite & buoy winds is important to satellite wind cal/val, observing system design (e.g., TPOS2020), and wind synthesis (e.g., CCMP)
- Satellite & buoy winds have good consistency (~1 m/s) for rain-free conditions, but have significantly larger differences under rainy conditions.
- Possible reasons:
 - Rain contamination of satellite winds
 - Buoy wind measurement issues
 - Spatial/temporal sampling differences (e.g., satellite footprint averages vs pointwise buoy winds – the topic of this presentation)



Courtesy of Larry O'Neill, OSU

Method: assessment based on WRF models

- Analysis of output from two WRF models for the maritime-continent region
 - Produced by Dr. Claire Vincent (Univ. of Melbourne) & Dr. Longtao Wu (JPL), respectively
- WRF models have 4-km resolution, hourly output; large-scale field constrained by ERA-Interim for UM WRF
- Examine wind variability within 25x25 km (typical scatterometer footprint)



WRF's sub-25 km wind speed variability averaged over 2014 boreal winter



Zonal wind averaged over 2014 boreal winter



from outputs of the two WRF models. Likely underestimated "sub-footprint" variability due to 4-km resolution & hourly outputs.

m/s

5

0

Ŷ

WRF sub-25 km wind variability as a function of rain rate



- This is based on 4-km WRF, hourly output.
- Finer-resolution WRF model with more frequent output may result in larger differences.

Satellite-buoy winds more consistent for wind speed than for zonal wind



Courtesy of Larry O'Neill, OSU

Larger differences between satellite & buoy winds, esp. during westerly winds. Likely cause:

- Sampling differences cause increased directional discrepancy
- Buoy compass error?

Sub-25 km variability for wind speed and zonal wind in WRF models as a function of zonal wind



- Zonal wind sub-25 km variability is indeed larger than that of wind speed, but the differences are much less than those between scatterometer and buoy winds.
- This difference from observations may be caused by the domain of the WRF models not encompassing enough tropical Pacific domain.

Summary

- Analysis of WRF model outputs (4-km, hourly) suggest an average ~0.7 m/s sub-25 km variability for ocean surface winds: increasing with rain rate, larger at high winds.
- Such "sub-footprint variability" can contribute to satellite-buoy wind RMSD significantly.
- The small-scale variability is likely underestimated due to the limited spatial resolution (4 km) and hourly output of the WRF models because individual convective rain cells can have spatial scale of ~10 km & time scale of minutes.
- The cause for the larger differences between satellite & buoy winds during westerly wind bursts need to be understood.

Future strategy to improve understanding of sub-footprint wind variability

- Examine finer-resolution WRF model with more frequent output (e.g., 1.5-km, 1-minute).
- Analyze Sentinel SAR winds
- Innovative ideas for in-situ process studies: important for wind, precipitation, and SSS cal/val.
- Examine vertical coherence of winds associated with convective rain cells: have implications to using ship-based radar measurements to understand satellite sub-footprint surface wind variability.
- Triple co-location analysis for convective regions.

Comparison of ASCAT zonal wind & wind speed vs TAO equivalents as a function

of (a) TAO zonal wind, (b) # of obs, (c) rain probability, and (d) mean rain rate



- Excellent consistency for wind speed (~ 1 m/s)
- Larger discrepancies for zonal wind associated w/ westerly winds (infrequent & rainy events); potential causes:
 - Small-scale variability sampled differently by satellites & buoys causing directional discrepancies
 - Rain contamination in satellite winds
 - Buoy compass error

Courtesy of Larry O'Neill