Examining cold pool signatures of oceanic systems using ASCAT wind retrievals of varying resolutions

Georgios Priftis[1], Timothy J. Lang[2], Piyush Garg[3], Richard Lindsley[4], Stephen W. Nesbitt[3], Themis Chronis[1]

[1]*University of Alabama in Huntsville*

[2]*NASA Marshall Space Flight Center*

[3]*University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign*

[4]*Remote Sensing System*

BACKGROUND

Physical Phenomenon

- Outflow boundaries that emanate from cold pools can occur in different scales and travel up to 100^{nds} of km.

Data

- ASCAT is on board MetOp- A, B, C and wind retrievals are reported at spatial resolutions of 25 km, 12.5 km.
- An Ultra High Resolution (UHR) ASCAT product has been developed in Lindsley et al. (2016) with spatial resolution of 3.5 km.

Methods

- A novel technique to identify cold pools in scatterometer wind retrievals has been recently introduced in Garg et al. (2018).

DATA & METHOD

ASCAT UHR

- Image reconstruction method (AVE)
  -> resolution enhancement.
- Full-resolution (SZF) level 1B containing σ^0 measurements.
- ASCAT Wind Data Processor (AWDP)
- Near-coastal coverage based on land contribution ratio:
  - Spatial response function estimate (footprint)
  - Land indicator function (rasterized map)

Gradient Feature (GF)

- Wind gradient: $\left|\nabla\vec{V}\right| = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}$
- Concave hull algorithm
- Sobel technique for edge detection
- Thresholds for GF:
  - Background noise (**primary**)
  - Bias (**secondary**)
- Alpha shapes



ASCAT 25 km



ASCAT 12.5 km



ASCAT 3.5 km

MOTIVATION

- How do vector winds, induced by cold-pool, change with different product resolutions?
- How do the thresholds for the detection of gradient features change with resolution?

❖ ***Currently, 4 case studies have been explored.***



CASE STUDY

- GF at 12.5 km identifies features associated with wind changes near precipitation.
- GF at 12.5 km is sensitive to the GF **thresholds**.







- Higher resolution GF products capture more features at a finer scale.

CONCLUSIONS

- ASCAT 25-km product is able to identify features associated with cold pools, but it is not sensitive to variation in the thresholds.
- ASCAT 12.5-km and 3.5 km products can capture smaller scale features associated with precipitating-wind changes and are sensitive to the GF threshold.
- UHR responds to features driven by large and small scale precipitation, in heavy or light rain rate.

ONGOING WORK

- ASCAT UHR algorithm has been setup in MSFC-UAH.
- Additional analysis needs to be done to evaluate the importance of the gradient wind features, including rain flags and maximum likelihood estimation metric.
- RADAR and buoy observations will be incorporated as ground truth when available.

Thank you for your attention!

Back-up slides







ASCAT 12.5 km

Thresh. 1 ↑

Thresh. 2 ↑



Coastal product

Threshold 1 = 6.8e-5

Threshold 2 = 1.2e-4

UHR product

Threshold 1 = 10e-4

Threshold 2 = 4e-4

INDIAN OCEAN





ASCAT GF 25km

- GF at 25 km is able to capture the outflow boundary ahead of the oceanic precipitating system.
- GF at 25 km is not sensitive to the GF thresholds.

INDIAN OCEAN





ASCAT GF 3.5km

- GF at 3.5 km provides finer scale feature associated with precipitation and outflow boundaries.
- Caution needs to be taken within precipitation regions.
- GF at 3.5 km is sensitive to the GF thresholds.
- One order of magnitude lower threshold in comparison with lower resolution products.