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Motivation
• Little is known as to the association between extreme precipitation/wind producing oceanic weather systems and synoptic-scale to mesoscale surface wind features, due to the paucity of observations

Main Science Goals
• Investigate the utility of vector wind retrievals from space-based scatterometers during high-impact weather events
• Use these data to study the influence of surface features (e.g., frontal boundaries, moisture convergence, etc.) in their development and evolution.

“High Impact Weather Events” = organized mesoscale systems producing significant winds and heavy precipitation
Objective 1 in a Nutshell

Merge ground-based polarimetric Doppler radar data and surface buoys with scatterometer

Study how well scatterometers depict mesoscale wind fields near significant precipitation systems
Objective 1 Methods

- Use legacy scatterometer and field campaign datasets to build bridge to ongoing/future observations (ASCAT/RapidScat & WSR-88DPs/Buoys)

- Focus on polarimetric Doppler radars to build from previous work by the community using ground radar reflectivity only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface Radar Dataset</th>
<th>Period of Coverage</th>
<th>Available Scatterometers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAME (S-PolKa)</td>
<td>Jul-Aug 2004</td>
<td>QuikSCAT/SeaWinds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DYNAMO (S-PolKa &amp; assimilated wind maps)</td>
<td>Oct-Dec 2011</td>
<td>ASCAT-A, OSCAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darwin, Australia (CPOl)</td>
<td>~1100 days during Nov 1999-Apr 2007</td>
<td>QuikSCAT, ADEOS-II/SeaWinds, ASCAT-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborator: B. Dolan, CSU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwajalein, RMI (KPOL)</td>
<td>Aug-Nov 2011 (high-quality dual-pol data)</td>
<td>ASCAT-A, OSCAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborator: D. Marks, GSFC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiMREX (S-PolKa)</td>
<td>May-June 2008</td>
<td>QuikSCAT, ASCAT-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal/Island WSR-88DPs</td>
<td>2011-Onward</td>
<td>ASCAT-A/B, OSCAT, RapidScat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Benefits of polarimetric radar comparisons for scatterometry

- More accurate rainfall estimates than Z-R
  - Attenuation and surface roughness effects
- Mixed-phase and ice/snow identification
  - Wet ice = highly efficient attenuator
  - Dry snow much less attenuation than liquid water
- Rainfall Drop-Size Distribution (DSD) Information
  - More accurately characterize attenuation
  - Identify C-band resonance in regions of large drops
    - Implications for ASCAT

Ryzhkov and Zrnic (2005, AMS Radar)
Polarimetric Radar Analysis

All-in-one object-oriented Python package (DualPol) that works with any C/S-band radar (currently being open sourced thru NASA)

Leverages ongoing collaborations with DOE (PyART) and Colorado State Univ. (CSU_RadarTools)

Products
- Blended rainfall estimation algorithm
- Hydrometeor Identification
- Drop-Size Distribution (DSD) Retrievals
- Liquid/Ice Discrimination and Water Content
- Improved Data QC
Single-Doppler Retrieval of 2D Low-Level Winds (Xu et al. 2006)

Use statistical interpolation to fill in radial Doppler velocity gaps and also estimate tangential wind component.

Similar errors to scatterometers.

Can resolve mesoscale features in heavy precip.

Object-oriented Python module (SingleDop) undergoing NASA open source process.
Single OSCAT overpass – Miami NEXRAD (KAMX), 9/25/2013

Comparing mean of radar winds within 12x12 km² box centered on scatterometer footprint
BUOY - ASCAT

- Data for June, July and August 2014
- Data buoy lat = 28.522N lon= 80.188W
- 102 coincident points for wind velocity > 5 m/s

Distance between buoy and satellite:
- Mean dist.: 0.34667
- Max dist.: 1.85
- Min.: 0.01

- 13 points with velocity < -2 m/s
- 2 points with velocity > 2 m/s

Buoys will be used to supplement radar/scatterometer analysis
Leveraging CYGNSS

- Ongoing radar data assimilation from DYNAMO field campaign (2011)
- Producing WRF-based wind analyses w/ and w/out ASCAT/OSCAT input
- CYGNSS End-To-End Simulator to create synthetic CYGNSS observations
Objective 2 – Global precipitation systems

Questions

• What distinguishes convective systems that produce extreme rainfall over their life cycle?

• What surface wind features are associated with these events? (e.g., low-level jets, surface convergence zones, mesoscale vortices, etc.)

• How do the locations of heavy precipitation centers depend on surface airflow, and how do these characteristics vary as a function of location and season?
Objective 2 Merged Dataset

- Scatterometers (ASCAT, OSCAT, RapidScat)
- Satellite precipitation (CMORPH, then IMERG)
- Reanalysis data (MERRA)
Examine CMORPH Precipitation Feature dataset, select top 10% rain producers (~1 million PFs)

Total, and seasonally decomposed PFs demonstrate enhanced rainfall over coastal regions

Sea/land breeze interactions? Gravity waves?
Extreme PFs also modulated by intraseasonal oscillations (e.g., MJO)

Next step – bring in the scatterometer observations to examine 10-m wind behavior in and near these extreme PFs

Analysis will be informed by Objective 1, which will tell us how well we can trust the scatterometers to identify mesoscale flow features important for system organization
Compositing Methodology

- CPC MORPHing technique (CMORPH) - 3-hourly, 0.25 degree resolution global rainfall data.
- Identify precipitation features (PFs) by their rainfall-weighted centroids.
- PFs are restricted to the tropics (+/- 20 degrees), and must be at least 4 pixels in size.
- Each PF is collocated with advanced scatterometer (ASCAT) surface vector wind data.
- Composites created +/- 5° from each PF’s weighted centroid, where ASCAT overpasses exist.
- Sea level pressure data from the Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis (MERRA) matched with PFs.
First Test PF Composite

- CMORPH precip, MERRA SLP in N. Hemisphere (11/2011)
- ASCAT overpasses within 3 h of PFs
- PFs with ASCAT data used for average composite (above)

*Test sample only, likely not accurate*
SUMMARY

Progress to date

• Radar analysis modules constructed
• Framework for scatterometer/ground radar/buoy comparison near completion
• Framework for merging scatterometer, satellite precipitation, and reanalyses near completion
• Two conference presentations (AGU Fall Mtg and AMS Python)
• Two additional conference abstracts submitted (AMS Radar)
• Two NASA New Technology Reports

Year Two Plans

• Finalize frameworks – Collaborations wanted!
• Identify Objective 1/2 combo cases (satellite + ground)
• Focus: Tropical precipitation systems
• Analysis!