Retrieving Surface Wind Directions from Neural-Net Wind Speed Retrievals in Tropical Cyclones

Ralph Foster, Applied Physics Laboratory, University of WA Jerome Patoux, Atmospheric Sciences, University of WA

NASA Ocean Vector Winds Science Team

Based on SAR Tropical Cyclone (TC) Wind Retrievals (See Horstmann, 17:45)

- SAR: 1 km wind vector retrievals in TCs (Horstmann, Wackerman)
 - Wind directions from imprint of TC boundary layer (TCBL) roll vortices
 - Wind speeds from model functions (CMOD5N (copol), Cross-pol, X-band
 - ~ 5-6 m/s RMS at hurricane force (up to low Cat-3)
 - Directions ~ 20°RMS rel. drop sondes, QuikSCAT (no-rain flag)
 - Wind retrieval quality flags





- 1. SAR σ_0
- 2. Standard U_{10} processing
 - Mask low confidence winds







- 1. SAR σ_0
- 2. Standard U_{10} processing
 - Mask low confidence winds
- 3. Calculate pressure gradient vectors, ∇P , from U_{10} using Tropical Cyclone Boundary Layer (TCBL) model

 Least-squares fit of pressure surface to ∇P vectors

- Constraint: $\nabla \cdot \overrightarrow{V_{Geostrophic}} = 0$
- Scene-wide dynamical consistency
- Normalize to observations (optional)
- 5. Use SLP pattern to force TCBL model and derive "SLP-filtered" surface wind vector field
 - Fill-in masked regions

TCBL: Nonlinear *Mean Flow* Dynamics are Important

- "Standard" PBL Scaling: $m{U}\cdot
 abla m{U} \ll \overline{m{u}'\cdot
 abla m{u}'}$
- Typhoon TCBL scaling: $\boldsymbol{U} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{U} \sim \overline{\boldsymbol{u}' \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}'}$
- Fully nonlinear TCBL model (Foster, 2009) currently too costly



- Approximate nonlinear mean flow dynamics using gradient wind model
- Storm-relative correction

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dP}{dn} = fV_g\left(1 + \frac{V_g}{fR}\right)$$

 $R = \alpha r; \alpha \sim 0.65$

 $\boldsymbol{U}_{total} = \boldsymbol{U} + \boldsymbol{u}'$

Two-Layer TCBL Model:

- Analytic match of inner and outer layers
- Inner (surface) layer
 - COARE/CBLAST-like surface layer
 - $C_{D_{max}} = 2.7 \times 10^{-3}$ for $U_{10} > \sim 30 \ ms^{-1}$



- $C_H \sim const$, when stratification is included
- Outer layer
 - Nonlinear mean flow dynamics
 - TCBL depth increases with radius
- Assume TC interior close to gradient-wind, V_g, balance

$$- U_{10} = U_{10} (V_g) \quad \text{``direct model''}$$

$$-V_g = V_g (U_{10})$$
 "inverse model"

TCBL: Mean boundary layer depth increases with radius

- Swirling flow:
 - Positive Rayleigh discriminant defines gradient wind-dependent time scale
- I < f, inner core; $I \rightarrow f$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$

•
$$\delta = \sqrt{2K_m/I}$$



Observations: Zhang et al. 2011, *MWR*, 2011, **139**, 2523-2535



 $I^{2} = \left(f + \frac{2V_{g}}{r}\right)\left(f + \frac{V_{g}}{r} + \frac{\partial V_{g}}{\partial r}\right)$

Nonlinear theory: Foster, 2009, Boundary-Layer Meteorol., **131**, 321-344

Cat-1 Typhoon Malakas

- Malakas 22 Sep, 2010, 20:30 UTC
- AF C-130J arrived 15 minutes after SAR overpass
- SFMR & 28 Drop Sondes

Malakas 22 Sep: Drop Sonde Surface Pressure



30

Five SAR Scenes Compared to Drop Sonde Surface Pressure



	SLP Bias	SLP RMS	PWD Bias	PWD RMS
	(mb)	(mb)	(mb)	(mb)
SAR	0.1	2.8	0.6	2.9

Lili	30 Sep, 2002
Katrina	27 Aug, 2005
Helene	20 Sep, 2006
lke	13 Sep, 2008
Malakas	22 Sep, 2010

• Overall ~3 mb RMS compared to drop sondes

Malakas 22 Sep, 2010: Drop Sonde Surface Wind



	Speed RMS Good, N = 22 (m/s)	Speed RMS All, N = 28 (m/s)
RAW	3.3	5.6
SLP-Filter	3.2	3.9

Malakas 22 Sep, 2010 20:30: SFMR



Black: SFMR wind speed

- Blue: RAW input wind (unmasked)
- Red: SLP-filtered
- Cyan: SFMR Rain Rate

- SLP-filtered and RAW winds are equal quality in good region
- SLP-filtered winds are better quality than RAW winds in masked region
- SLP-filtered winds have overall lower RMS

Five SAR Scenes Compared to SFMR

60 55		RAW (good) RAW (masked)	Good Only N = 31,292	RMS (m/s)	
50		SLP-Filt (good) SLP-Filt (masked)	RAW	5.7	
45			SLP-Filter	5.5	
40 35 24 30		RAW winds in masked regions	Masked Only N = 6,767	RMS (m/s)	
ທີ່ 25			RAW	8.6	
20			SLP-Filter	5.4	
15 10			All Data N = 38,059	RMS (m/s)	
0			RAW	7.4	
Ū	0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 SFMR (m s ⁻	40 45 50 55 60 ^{·1})	SLP-Filter	5.4	
 Lili 30 Sep, 2002 Katrina 27 Aug, 2005 Helene 20 Sep, 2006 Ike 13 Sep, 2008 Malakas 22 Sep, 2010 SLP-filtered wind RMS is the same in good an masked regions RAW winds in masked region are lower quality than RAW winds in good region SLP filtered winds has lower RMS overall 					

QuikSCAT Neural Net GMF (See Stiles, 17:00)

- Special Speed-only GMF for TCs
 - 10 years of data at JPL:

http://tropicalcyclone.jpl.sa.gov/hurricane/gemain.jsp

- NN trained to 2005 H*WIND
 - Stiles BW, RE. Danielson, W. Lee Poulsen, M J. Brennan, S. Hristova-Veleva, T-P.J. Shen, and Alexander G. Fore: 2013: Optimized Tropical Cyclone Winds from QuikSCAT: A Neural Network Approach, *TGARRS*, (in press)

- 12.5 km pixels

• Scatterometer wind directions are <u>bad</u> in TC inner core

Fix with ZU-SLP retrieval iteration

 Zhang, J. A., and E. W. Uhlhorn, 2012: Hurricane sea surface inflow angle and an observation-based parametric model. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 140, 3587-3605



19.9

19

295.5

ZU

-SIP

296.6

297.7

298.8

299.9

301

302.1

10

5

0

303.2







Bishop, C H., 1996: Domain-Independent Attribution. Part I: Reconstructing the Wind from Estimates of Vorticity and Divergence Using Free Space Green's Functions. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **53**, 241–252.

Deformation Flow from QuikSCAT ZU-SLP directions



Investigation of Circulation Center

- Use initial guess at center (HRD, Best Track, etc.)
- Find metric based on circulation near RMW (radius maximum wind)
- Circulation center varies greatly over depth of TCs
 - Best track/HRD near flight level or "steering" level
 - Scatterometer data needs surface circulation center





- Use flow partition analysis & SLP data to refine estimates of surface-level circulation center
- Best fit to SLP obs
- Find flow partition metrics e.g.:
 - Maximize circulation near RMW
 - Location of deformation cull
 - Comparison with numerical simulations (e.g. UMCM 3-way coupled model)









Future Research

- Upgrade TCBL model in SLP retrieval

 Improve nonlinear dynamics ala Foster (2009)
- Use SLP to improve wind directions for scatterometers in TC conditions

Iterate SLP/partition analysis

 Combine with upper-level winds to study in→up→out TC-scale secondary circulation

Flow partitions at two levels

- Investigate TC TCBL roll vortices (SAR)
 - TCBL parameterization
 - Improve SAR wind directions

Summary

- Developed new methodology to calculate Sea-Level Pressure patterns from SAR images of Tropical Cyclones
 - 1 km pixels
 - <3 mb RMS compared to drop sondes
- Scene-wide SLP-filtered surface winds
 - Similar quality to RAW SAR winds in unmasked regions
 - Fills gaps where SAR winds are less certain (masked)
 - SAR wind speed comparable to SFMR RMS
 - SAR has more coverage
 - Cat2 + model functions not well established or tested
 - Dynamically consistent SLP and SLP-filtered U_{10}
- Apply to Scatterometer NN data sets
 - Coarser resolution
 - Longer and larger data set (QuikSCAT)
 - OSCAT & ASCAT scatterometers currently operational
 - RapidSCAT & HSCAT coming



RAW SAR wind vectors Mask less certain winds

Superposed (adjusted for storm translation): SFMR wind speeds Drop sonde U_{10} and directions

SLP-filtered wind vectors SLP contours (4mb interval)

(directions every 40 km)

C-130J Surface Pressure



Black: C130 Sea-level Pressure from Flight Level Data Red: SAR sea-level pressure

TCBL Model Development Needed for SLP Retrieval

- Approximated nonlinear mean flow dynamics
 - Storm-relative nonlinear dynamics corrections
 - Modified gradient wind correction
- Dynamical increase of TCBL depth with radius
 Based on rotational "stiffness" of swirling flow
- High winds limit on $C_D^{max} = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$