International Ocean Vector Wind Science Team Meeting 2 - 4 June 2014



้อธบ



Upwelling events at the western African coast related to atmospheric structures: An analysis with satellite observations

[focus on the Benguela system].

FUMFTSAT

Ifremer

Fabien Desbiolles^{1,2}, Bruno Blanke¹ & Abderrahim Bentamy²

(1) Laboratoire de Physique des Océans – UMR 6523 CNRS-Ifremer-IRD-UBO, Brest, France

(2) Laboratoire d'Océanographie Spatiale – Ifremer – Plouzané, France



Longitude 15

10

2. Improvements in air-sea turbulent fluxes (i.e., latent and sensible fluxes). [Bentamy et al., IJRS 2013]

Presentration – Abderrahim Bentamy, Analysis of Turbulent Flux Quality – CR 16:15

Introduction : Upwelling systems Atmosphere-driven cooling processes

Local forcing

Local horizontal wind shear

Mechanism: Ekman pumping



Increase in the momentum fluxes ==> Deepening of the surface mixed layer.

Mechanism: Vertical mixing



Synoptic forcing



The trade winds variability controlled by two atmospheric high-pressure systems drives the upwelling seasonality in both Atlantic systems.

Mechanism: Offshore Ekman Transport

Seaward advection in the upper ocean ==> compensating upward movement at the coast.

Introduction : Upwelling systems

When the ocean feeds back on the atmospheric flow



Modulation of the stability of the Marine Atmospheric Boundary Layer (MABL) by the Sea Surface Temperature (SST). Deceleration (acceleration) of the wind over cold (warm) waters.





Benguela system



Can new satellite observations describe better the different physical processes at play during coastal upwelling events ?

1. Comparison of different wind products

Actual space resolution of global wind products.

2. Origin of the differences: Oceanic feedbacks

SST/wind coupling process Evidence of SST feedback and orographic signature.

3. Short-lived upwelling events

Description of upwelling dynamics with satellite observations: Short-term cold SST events -- Remote and local forcing

4. Conclusions

1. Comparison of different wind products

List of products: QuikSCAT – Grid sampling 25km, QS25 QuikSCAT – Grid sampling 50km, QS50 ASCAT – Grid sampling 25km, AS25 ECMWF – Grid sampling 50km

European Center for Medium-Range Weather Forecast
Data processed and provided by LOS/CERSAT

k-NN algorithm to derive zonal and meridional wind components on the same grid stencil (i.e., QS25 grid)



SLP – summer 2008 mean

All products show consistent similarity during austral summer : the wind vector is mostly favorable to upwelling, i.e., northward or northwestward over the whole domain.

Products	QS50	Q\$25	AS25	ECMWF
Sources Revisit time (days)	QuikSCAT 4	QuikSCAT 4	MeTop-A 4	Model n/a
Orbital period (min)	101	101	101	n/a
Intrinsic resolution (°)	1/2	1/4	1/4	1/2



1. Comparison of different wind products

Intrinsic (given grid) vs. actual spatial resolution



 $\frac{\text{Cross-correlation calculations}:}{M_{i,j}(t), \quad N_{i,j}^{p}(t) = \frac{1}{p} \sum N_{p}(t)}$ $\rho_{i,j} = \frac{\sigma_{M_{i,j}N_{i,j}^{p}}}{\sigma_{M_{i,j}} \cdot \sigma_{N_{i,j}^{p}}}$

The computation is repeated with an increasing distance and we keep the distance d for which

 $\rho_{i, j} < 0.95$

d: Local and horizontal coherence of the wind, assumed to be the actual spatial resolution of each product.

1. Comparison of different wind products



Distance *d* varies according to zones and products:

Large decorrelation distance $(>300 \text{km}) \rightarrow \text{coherent winds},$ large-scale patterns.

Finer scales found in new satellite products (QS25, AS25). Coastal band characterized by strong spatial inhomogeneities

 \rightarrow areas where strong air-seacontinent interactions are expected.

Improvement in actual resolution of the new satellite products at regional scale

Consistent with results obtained at global scale [Bentamy et al., JGR 2012]

2. Origin of the differences

Evidence of SST feedback in the QS25 wind product.

Hypothesis : Linear relationship between the wind stress curl and the crosswind SST gradient [Chelton et al., Science 2004] from weekly to seasonal time scales.



Wind stress curl (colors) and crosswind SST gradient (contours, CI=0.3°C per 100 km)



<u>Statistical response</u> studied by binaveraging weekly averages of QS25 wind stress curl as a function of weeklyaveraged crosswind SST gradients over 261 weeks.



Part of the wind stress curl is plausibly explained by SST spatial variability.



Evidence of SST feedback in the QS25 wind product.

% explained = 100.
$$\frac{\alpha_c. Crosswind(\nabla T)}{\nabla x \tau}$$

 $\alpha_c = 0,010 N.m^{-3}/°C$

 $\% \mbox{ explained } \rightarrow 0$: Presence of capes

Elsewhere: somewhat Gaussianshaped distribution with median value up to 70% locally during the upwelling season.

> The SST-driven curl can be a primary contributor to local curl variability and magnitude





Wind stress difference (colors) and SST gradient (contours, CI: 5e-5 °C/m)



Factors that could explain differences in actual spatial resolution between QS25 and QS50 :

1. QS25 winds are more sensitive to SST than QS50 winds.

2. An orographic signal seems to be capture by the QS25 wind stress curl.

3. Short-lived upwelling events



Local, short-lived cold perturbations that add to seasonal upwelling variability.



Characterization of concomitant atmospheric synoptic conditions for SUEs identified at chosen latitudes.



3. Short-lived upwelling events

 \rightarrow **Two subregions** are characterized with contrasted patterns for the alongshore wind speed component and curl.

 \rightarrow **Asynchronous cold SST events** in both subregions agree with published literature and are related to north/south migration of the core of atmospheric highs (i.e., Saint-Helena anticyclones).

 \rightarrow Both **coastal upwelling** and **Ekman pumping** contribute to cold SST anomalies.

 \rightarrow Vertical turbulent mixing induced by intensified local winds may cool the SST in a comparable way.





4. Conclusions

