The Response of Surface Winds, Wind Stress and Pressure to SST Variability on Monthly to Seasonal Time Scales

Jim Edson
University of Connecticut

Doug Vandemark & Amanda Plagge
University of New Hampshire
CLIMODE Platforms
Flux Time Series
Stability/Baroclinic Effects Near SST Fronts

- Coupling Coefficient \( \Delta U = \alpha_v \Delta T_{sea} \) with higher winds over warmer and lower winds over cooler SST.

- Surface Layer Adjustment
  - QuikSCAT measures surface roughness/stress
  - Surface stress is proportional to neutral winds, \( U_N \)
  - MO similarity predicts:
    - \( U_N < U \) in unstable conditions
    - \( U_N > U \) in stable conditions

- Boundary Layer Adjustment
  - Acceleration/deceleration of surface winds.
  - Enhancement of vertical mixing due to cool-air advection over warmer water that mixes down larger momentum from aloft.
  - Pressure perturbations driven by the adjustment of air temperature and humidity to the underlying SST.
  - Both!
QuikSCAT vs. Buoy Wind Speeds

![Scatter plot showing the comparison between QuikSCAT and Buoy wind speeds. The plot includes data points and a trend line, with markers distinguished by red and blue colors.](Image)
QuikSCAT vs. Buoy Wind Speeds

“Surface Layer Adjustment”

\[ U(z) = u_\ast / \kappa \left[ \ln(z/z_o) - \psi_m(z/L) \right] \quad U_N(z) = u_\ast / \kappa \left[ \ln(z/z_o) \right] \]
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“Surface Layer Adjustment”

\[ U(z) = u_*/\kappa[\ln(z/z_o) - \psi_m(z/L)] \quad U_N(z) = u_*/\kappa[\ln(z/z_o)] \]
Buoy-Pair Approach

\[ \Delta U = \alpha_v \Delta T_{sea} \]

O’Neill (2012)
Single Buoy Approach

\[ \Delta U = \alpha v \Delta T_{\text{sea}} \]

Halliwell & Mooers (1983)
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Single Buoy Approach

$\Delta U = \alpha_v \Delta T_{sea}$
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Summary

• Some of the variability in the QuikSCAT winds is due to adjustment of the neutral wind to changes in stratification and not changes in the actual wind speeds.
  – This variability obeys MO-Similarity in the mean.
  – Scatterometer winds represent ENW.
  – This effect enhances the gradient in neutral winds but not actual.
  – This accounts for about 20% of the coupling coefficient, which should be considered artificial, i.e., an artifact of measuring ENW.
  – However, significant variability in the QuikSCAT winds is not explained by this effect.

• The one-buoy approximation of the coupling coefficients is in good agreement with previous studies.
  – The coupling coefficient depends on the scale of the SST variability.
  – In the Gulf Stream regions, the variability is driven by Gulf Stream meanders.
  – Results suggest that pressure adjustment is mainly responsible for observed coupling between wind speed/wind stress and SST at these scales.

• Next: Scatterometers as stressmeters
Relative Velocity \[ C_{DN}(z/z_o) = \frac{-\bar{uw}}{\Delta U_N G} = \left( \frac{\kappa}{\ln(z/z_o)} \right)^2 \]
Future Research w/ Surface Stress Measurements
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MVCO: 2002-2013
UNH Buoy: 2009-2012
SPURS: 2012-2013

- Exploit scatterometers as stressmeters.
- Develop model functions using direct covariance stress with ASCAT and OSCAT.
- Explore, e.g., sensitivity to currents, SST and long waves.
Thanks to NASA for supporting this research.
QuikSCAT vs. Buoy Wind Speeds
Correlations with Buoyancy Flux
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Cross Frontal Velocity & Adveotive Adjustment

Length scale over which the PG changes (Spall 2007):

\[ L_p = \frac{U_{cross} H^2}{K_m} \propto \frac{U_{cross}}{f} \]

The shorter this scale, the quicker the PG can adjust to the SST gradient and the more important it becomes in driving the winds.
QuikSCAT vs. Buoy Wind Speeds

“Surface Layer Adjustment”

\[
U(z) = u_* / \kappa [\ln(z/z_o) - \psi_m(z/L)]
\]
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“Surface Layer Adjustment”

\[
\begin{align*}
U(z) &= \frac{u_*}{\kappa} \left[ \ln\left(\frac{z}{z_o}\right) - \psi_m(z/L) \right] \\
U_N(z) &= \frac{u_*}{\kappa} \left[ \ln\left(\frac{z}{z_o}\right) \right]
\end{align*}
\]
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“Surface Layer Adjustment”
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