



Decadal time series of ocean surface vector wind fields

Accuracy, Consistency, and New Insights of the OAFlux Ocean Vector Wind Analysis (1987 – present)

Lisan Yu

Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution

with programming support from Dr. Xiangze Jin

International Ocean Vector Winds Science Team meeting Utrecht, Netherlands, June 12-14, 2012



OAFlux (Objectively Analyzed air-sea Fluxes) Daily, 0.25° Ocean Vector Wind Analysis (1987-present)



Main issues:

- 1. How is the OAFlux vector wind analysis constructed?
- 2. Is the time series consistent across the various periods?
- 3. What accuracy can be achieved by the OAFlux synthesis?
- 4. What new insights does the OAFlux time series provide?
- 5. How have global surface wind fields been changing?



Construction of the OAFlux Ocean Vector Wind Analysis



Methodology and Strategy

Formulate a linear-squares estimator based on the Guass-Markov theorem

$$F = \sum_{k} \alpha_{k} (u_{ana} - u_{o,k})^{2} + \sum_{k} \alpha_{k} (v_{ana} - v_{o,k})^{2} + \sum_{m} \beta_{m} (\sqrt{u_{ana}^{2} + v_{ana}^{2}} - w_{o,m})^{2} + Dyn(vort, div)$$

Search the optimal solution through a variational minimization approach.

Role of ERAinterim:

- serve as initial guess for wind direction before QuikSCAT was available
- fill in gaps due to the lack of observations or to the removal of rain flagged data.

Daily resolution is chosen to ensure

- maximum satellite coverage on daily basis
- stability of the time series for climate analysis.



Percentage of daily coverage



Accuracy and consistency of the OAFlux winds: A buoy perspective Yu and Jin (2012)



126 buoy locations



A total of 168,836 daily values between 1988 and 2010

 The buoy winds are converted to equivalent neutral wind speed at a height of 10 m using the method by Liu and Tang (1996)

Buoy winds are NOT used in the OAFlux synthesis



Consistency

TAO Pacific (140W, EQ)











Buoy instrument accuracy:

W: ± 0.3 (ms⁻¹); Dir: ± 5 - 7.8 (degree)

| Variable | 1988-1998: N= 10,880 2000-2010: N=152,239 1988-2010: N=168,866 | | | |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|-----------------|--|
| | Mean DIFF | RMS Error | Corr Coef (0-1) | |
| ₩ (ms ⁻¹) | -0.19 | 0.93 | 0.93 | |
| | -0.13 | 0.66 | 0.95 | |
| | -0.13 | 0.71 | 0.94 | |
| DIR (degree) | 1.48 | 21.52 | 0.96 | |
| | -0.90 | 16.98 | 0.95 | |
| | -0.55 | 17.34 | 0.95 | |
| U (ms ⁻¹) | 0.07 | 1.40 | 0.96 | |
| | -0.01 | 1.03 | 0.96 | |
| | 0.00 | 1.09 | 0.96 | |
| V (ms ⁻¹) | -0.15 | 1.30 | 0.96 | |
| | 0.05 | 0.98 | 0.96 | |
| | 0.02 | 1.01 | 0.96 | |



How much can a synthesis improve the accuracy of input satellite retrievals?



Theoretically, the error reduction is $\sim 1/sqrt(N)$ when using N input sensors.

In reality, the covariances between N sensors are not zeros, because sensors are biased and errors are correlated.

For instance, the errors between QuikSCAT and SSMI 16 is 0.35.

7660 collocations (2008-2009)

| | w | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| | DIFF (ms ⁻¹) | RMS (ms ⁻¹) | cc (0-1) | |
| OAFlux | -0.25 | 0.60 < | 0.96 | The theoretic |
| QuikSCAT | -0.22 | 0.92 | 0.90 | |
| ASCAT | -0.45 | 0.94 | 0.92 | number is ~0. |
| WindSat | -0.16 | 0.95 | 0.89 | |
| SSMI F13 | -0.19 | 1.20 | 0.85 | |
| SSMIS F16 | -0.21 | 0.93 | 0.91 | |
| SSMIS F17 | -0.24 | 0.94 | 0.91 | |
| Instrument Accuracy | ± 0.3 ms ⁻¹ | | | |

al

.36

Depiction of Synoptic Variability: OAFlux vs other products



• OAFlux before 1999 is constructed from SSMI wind speed with wind direction from ERAinterim as initial guess.

 CCMP and OAFlux have similar cost function and similar minimization approach , but temporal resolution differs (6h vs daily) and the input sensors differ (CCMP has NSCAT, TMI, SeaWind2 but no ASCAT and SSMS16/17).



Socennogedon, Charlen Ling

Daily wind convergence field: a global view

60N

30N

EQ

30S

Couplets of convergence/divergence

25-August-1998 (no scatterometer)



CCMP CCMP CCMP CCMP CCMP CCMP

60S 60E 120E 180 120W 60W m/s/100km -1 0 2 -3 -2 з 4 5 1

MERRA



ERAinterim





QSCAT and ASCAT are noisy. Applied 1-2-1 smoothing three times. Convergence/divergence couplets become visible.

Comments:

OAFlux is able to extract the filamentary structures from multiple satellites, indicating that the signals are buried in noise.

➔ The number of samples could be important for obtaining the desired fine-scale processes, even if the sensor is capable of measuring.



Wind derivatives



COAFlux 60N 00AFlux 00AFlux





Decadal change in global ocean winds







Summary and conclusions



In this presentation:

- A daily, 0.25° analysis of global ocean vector wind fields starting from 1987 is developed by OAFlux from synthesizing 12 passive and active sensors.
- The buoy evaluation obtains that OAFlux wind speed has an rms (mean) difference of 0.71 (-0.13) m/s and the wind direction has an rms (mean) difference of 17 (-0.55) degrees.
- OAFlux shows an improved depiction of the spatial structures associated with synoptic variability, offering a striking view of the convergence-divergence couplets over the open oceans.
- OAFlux winds have distinct decadal changes. Wind speed increased in the 1990s but remained flat in the 2000s.

In planning:

- Dissemination of OAFlux vector wind analysis is planned.
- Data sets being prepared include:
 - (i) wind, (ii) wind stress, (iii) stress curl, (iv) wind convergence, and (v) vorticity

Future:

The OAFlux synthesis framework is versatile and can include latest sensors such as OSCAT.