## Impacts of surface currents on derived scatterometer wind at Ku and C band

Amanda Plagge and Doug Vandemark (UNH) James Edson (UConn) Bertrand Chapron (IFREMER) David Long (BYU) This work comes under the OVWST project "Determining geophysical impacts on scatterometer wind stress accuracy," and Jim Edson will be presenting additional work on Wednesday afternoon

### Overview

- What do we know-- or what do we think we know?
- Effect of currents on QuikSCAT buoy residuals at 2 buoys
- Spatial case study of QuikSCAT and currents using models
- Currents and ASCAT buoy residuals
- Currents altimeter wind (briefly)

## Scatterometry and currents

• According to the model [Bourassa 2006], we expect that QuikSCAT should follow the kinematic boundary condition, i.e.:

$$U(z) - U_s = \frac{u_{*a}}{\kappa} \left[ \ln \frac{z}{z_0} + \phi(z, z_0, L) \right]$$
  
surface current

- But:
  - the few existing studies focus mostly in the equatorial region
  - and/or use only climatological currents
  - o or non-surface currents (10m depth)
  - o and don't quantitatively validate the model

Dickinson et al. 2001; Kara et al. 2007; Kelly et al. 2005; Quilfen et al. 2001;



http://www.oscar.noaa.gov/datadisplay/oscar\_latlon.php



FIG. 4. Effect of ocean currents on NSCAT winds. (a) NSCAT-2 winds (eastward is up). (b) Currents at a depth of 10 m measured from TAO buoys. (c) The difference between TAO buoy and NSCAT-2 vectors at the ocean surface. The difference between the TAO and NSCAT-2 wind speeds is significantly correlated with the component of the ocean currents in the direction of the buoy winds.

Dickinson et al. 2001, Comparisons between the TAO buoy and NASA scatterometer wind vectors

## Scatterometry and currents

• So:

- it is hard to find a study that quantifies this in a global sense
- to get to the physics, need a lot of data, not just in the equatorial regions, but also in coastal regions to examine tidal signals
- and given that there is so much emphasis now on dualfrequency work, what about C-Band?
- We should also keep in mind that many wave-current interactions occur within the footprint of our sensor: even if they don't show up in the data, it doesn't mean they aren't happening

## The Gulf of Maine



http://app2.iris.usm.maine.edu/gulfofmaine-censusdev/wp-content/images/circulation/fig4.jpg

## In Situ Data

- Focused on two Gulf of Maine Ocean Observing System (GoMOOS) Buoys
  - Buoy N (number 44024 at 42.31 N, -65.93 E)
  - <sup>o</sup> Buoy L (number 44038 at 43.62N, -66.55 E)
- Winds from RM Young anemometers at 4m, corrected to 10m neutral winds using COARE 3.0
- Surface currents from Aanderaa RCM9 meters at 2 m depth
  - $u'=|u|*cos(\phi_{buoycurr} \phi_{buoywind})$
- Also provide air and water temperatures, relative humidity, atmospheric pressure, etc.

## Other Data

#### • Satellite:

- QuikSCAT: L2 25 km and 12km (PO.DAAC); UHR (D. Long); collocations according to Plagge et al. 2009
- ASCAT collocations from Anton Verhoef (KNMI): 12 km and 25 km at buoy N; 25 km at buoy L
- Altimeter: Jason-1,-2, and Envisat

#### • Models:

- Atmosphere: 9 km WRF (UNH and AER, Inc)
- Finite Volume Community Ocean Model (FVCOM) circulation model (Dr. Chen at UMass)



PDF for direction of both surface current and wind 0.01 buoy L current buoy L wind buoy N current buoy N current 0.008 0.006 0.004 0.002 0 <sup>L</sup> 0 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 degrees



QuikSCAT and buoy wind speed residuals vs. projected current

1.5



## QuikSCAT and buoy wind speed residuals vs. projected current



weighted LS fit

black dashed line indicates y = -x

# Is it following the kinematic boundary condition?

- Relationship for speed residuals very close to 1:1
- If QuikSCAT is truly responding to the kinematic boundary condition, can we make this response any clearer?
- Might there be a difference at light wind for instance?
  - Expected errors: 5 m/s and below there are larger QSbuoy residuals for all resolutions in the Gulf of Maine [Plagge et al 2009]
  - Physics: perhaps fully coupled wind-wave situation not developed
  - Differences in drag coefficient parametrization at speeds below 4 m/s (viscous effects and surface tension)
- Might expect masking due to stability effects: magnitude of these could easily be greater

#### Is there a speed dependence?



#### Those were speed residuals. What about direction?



weighted LS fit

## **Spatial patterns**



http://app2.iris.usm.maine.edu/gulfofmaine-censusdev/wp-content/images/circulation/fig4.jpg

## Spatial case study: Dec 26-27 2008



## ASCAT

- C-band (5.255 GHz)
- Does the different frequency mean a different response to currents/current shear?
- Notes:
  - o Collocations provided by Anton Verhoef
  - o No 12 km data at buoy L due to swath patterns
  - Some missing temperature data at buoy N means that some buoy winds are not stability-corrected

## 25km ASCAT and buoy N winds



red dots indicate instances of missing buoy water temperatures, meaning the 10m buoy wind was created using law-ofthe-wall only; this will be remedied in future.

black dashed line indicates y = -x

#### (25km ASCAT-buoy N) wind speed vs. current



#### (25km ASCAT-buoy N) wind speed vs. current



weighted LS fit

black dashed line indicates y = -x

## 12km ASCAT and buoy N winds



red dots indicate instances of missing buoy water temperatures, meaning the 10m buoy wind was created using law-ofthe-wall only; this will be remedied in future.

black dashed line indicates y = -x

#### (12km ASCAT-buoy N) wind speed vs. current



#### (12km ASCAT-buoy N) wind speed vs. current



weighted LS fit

black dashed line indicates y = -x

## Surface-relative altimeter winds

- Jason-1,-2, Envisat
- We want to check if the response is less than kinematic 1:1 due to the longer waves included in altimeter backscatter (this would be similar in off-nadir radiometry) [Vandemark et al 1998]
- Note: This may not be a representative case, as the dynamic range of waves in the location of buoy N is somewhat limited, and certainly doesn't represent the global ocean

## Altimeter and buoy N



## Altimeter and buoy N: low wave height and neutral stability



## Conclusions and future work

- QuikSCAT-- overall-- follows the kinematic boundary condition for all resolutions at two coastal buoys
- The effect of currents on QuikSCAT wind speed can be seen spatially as well
- ASCAT appears to have a weaker response
- Altimeter may be following kinematic condition despite longer waves
- Can we explain what is happening at low wind speeds?
- Can we retrieve any further information by sorting by z/L or another stability-related parameter?
- Can we determine why Ku-band and C-band seem to have such a different response?
  - Is this universally true or somehow related to the dynamics at our test site?

UNH air-sea discus buoy (ASID) for eddy covariance momentum, mass, and latent heat flux under ASCAT - ongoing in G. Maine

#### Key features

- Offshore with good ASCAT overpass data
- Synchronized 20 Hz data for hourly flux estimates using:
  - Licor H<sub>2</sub>0 and CO<sub>2</sub> (Li7500)
  - Direct covariance flux system
- Solar powered for long-term continuous operation
- 3-D near surface current data
- 2-D gravity wave spectra



## Special thanks to...

- Ernesto Rodriguez and NASA's Graduate Student Researchers Program
- Anton Verhoef at KNMI
- Hui Feng at UNH
- Rich Signell at USGS
- Dr. Chen at UMass

Questions? Suggestions? Comments?

## Extra slides

## Bourassa's [2006] model

$$U(z) - U_s = rac{u_{*a}}{\kappa} \left[ \ln rac{z}{z_0} + \phi(z, z_0, L) 
ight]$$



for both buoys it does look like there are directional differences, especially between low and high winds



## Spatial case study: 16-17 Mar 2009













## 25km ASCAT and buoy L winds



#### (25km ASCAT-buoy L) wind speed vs. current



