Assimilation of ocean surface wind vectors into global and regional models of tropical cyclones: Present and future.

Sharan Majumdar, RSMAS/U.Miami Bob Atlas, NOAA/AOML Joe Ardizzone et al., NASA/GSFC Mark Leidner, AER Inc. Ryan Torn, SUNY at Albany Jeff Whitaker and Tom Hamill, NOAA/ESRL

Acknowledgments: NASA Ocean Vector Winds Science Team, NCEP/EMC Data Assimilation Group

> IOVWST Meeting Barcelona, Spain. 5/18/10.

Outline

1. Ongoing activities

- a. Generation of the Cross-Calibrated MultiPlatform (CCMP) Ocean surface wind data set.
- b. Evaluate (and enhance) the impact of each type of satellite surface winds on ocean surface wind analyses and NWP.
- 2. Numerical modeling and data assimilation experiments for tropical cyclones
 - a. Real data (QuikSCAT) in NCEP GSI
 - b. Synthetic data in NCEP GSI
 - c. Varying vertical error covariance in NCEP GSI
 - d. Ensemble Kalman Filter (EnKF)

Ongoing activities



Removal of spurious cyclones via QSCAT



Impact of QSCAT on GEOS-5 surface wind analysis: 1 week





Ongoing activities



Assimilation of ASCAT winds into GEOS-5: Beneficial impact on WRF track and intensity forecasts of Hurricane Hanna (2008)

OSSE: Assimilation of synthetic QSCAT winds in GSI can degrade analysis of jet stream



Summary 1

(i) CCMP

- Generated 20+ years of 6-hourly CCMP OSVW data at 25 km resolution.
- Close fit to data, significant improvements in meteorological features.
- Infrastructure to rapidly reprocess based on recommendations from OVW community.

(ii) Impact Studies

- Assimilation of QuikSCAT winds generally improves NASA and NCEP model analyses of ocean surface winds.
- Impact on forecasts is positive but smaller than that previously obtained. Impact limited by competing data, super-obbing, aspects of GSI analysis.
- QuikSCAT and ASCAT winds, derived sea level pressure and gradient level winds are being assimilated using the GEOS-5, NCEP and WRF models to assess the potential for increased beneficial impact of OVW-derived mass and wind data.

2a. NCEP/EMC Study



- No QuikSCAT
- QuikSCAT wind vectors processed by NESDIS



Overall conclusion: Assimilating QuikSCAT data had little impact on NCEP GFS hurricane track errors

- Conservative QC; observation error (3.5 m/s)
- Super-obs: obs averaged in 1° x 1° boxes
 - Significant wind vectors (>20 m/s) are compromised
- Short vertical error correlation lengthscale, appropriate for tropical cyclone?

2b. GSI Assimilation experiments

- One assimilation time: 00 UTC, Sept 5th 2008
 Hurricane Ike in western Atlantic
- Operational NCEP GSI as of 2008-9
 ~ 35 km resolution
- Current capability:
 - Assimilating operational observations
 - Synthetic-observation experiments

Operational GFS forecast



Synthetic observations of u, v

Suppose that there were 4 new, near-perfect wind observations, reporting wind speeds 10 m/s higher than the analysis.

What would be their impact on the analysis?







Analysis increment (analysis – f.guess) in MSLP



Analysis increment of 1000 hPa u, v



U

Vertical E-W cross-section through Ike: Analysis increment



Vertical E-W cross-section through Ike: Forecast increment through +24h



Vertical E-W cross-section through Ike: Forecast increment through +48h



2c. Vertical error correlation lengthscale L_z

- Minimal modification to the track of Ike due to assimilation of the 4 wind vectors.
- Influence of 'observations' on the analysis only extended through the boundary layer.
- This influence possessed no clear signal at later forecast times.
- Any improvement if L_z is increased?

Vertical E-W cross-section through Ike. Analysis increment: operational L_z



Vertical E-W cross-section through lke. Analysis increment: $2 \times L_z$



Vertical E-W cross-section through lke. Analysis increment: $4 \times L_z$











2d. GSI versus EnKF



CAVEAT: Different Storms!







8

6

4

2

0

-2

-4

-6

-8

-10

Summary 2

• <u>GSI</u>

- Geostrophic & hydrostatic correction (needed 4 "obs").
- Local horizontal correction.
- Significant increment only through boundary layer.
- Increasing L_z improved storm structure and track forecast.

• <u>EnKF</u>

- Gradient wind correction (used only 1 "obs").
- Stronger winds associated with shifting the storm further east and increasing intensity of winds.
- Troposphere-deep increment: vertical error correlation lengthscale equivalent to 4x of GSI.

U-component of wind ob at strion W striat N





Conclusions and Future Work

- Effectiveness of assimilating OSVW data depends on the data assimilation scheme.
- Potentially significant benefits to NWP with novel flow-dependent data assimilation that incorporates meteorological features in the error structures.
- Assimilate satellite surface winds using multiple data assimilation schemes:
 - EnKF (with GFS/FIM and WRF)
 - GEOS-5
- OSSEs to evaluate potential for new satellite surface wind observing systems (DFS).