



SST-Induced Surface Wind Response: Comparison of QuikSCAT and ASCAT depiction of the phenomenon.

Svetla Hristova-Veleva and Ernesto Rodriguez

Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

ASCAT; Resolution=50km; Begin orbit 20080628; _2weeks07; Period =09; COMBINED_AsDes; ASCT WindRetrieval; DIRTH; ; ; bias0.3_2weeks



^{0.600 0.100 0.200 0.500 0.400 0.500 0.700 0.700 1.000 1.550 1.500 3.000&}gt; 3.000 QSCAT-ECMWF; Magnitude of the Vector Wind Speed DIFF [m/s]

JPL

Merging the wind estimates from ASCAT and QuikSCAT will allow extending the climate data record.

Before merging them, we have to evaluate their consistency.

Climatologies based on 3 month average:

JAS 2008

Wind calculated from L2 wind products

The overall pattern is similar, but differences exist



Climatologies based on 3 month average:

JPL

Dec. 2008-Feb. 2009

Wind calculated from L2 wind products

The overall pattern is similar, but differences exist.

0.000 0.100 0.200 0.300 0.400 0.500 0.700 0.700 1.000 1.250 1.500 3.000 QSCAT-ECMWF; Magnitude of the Vector Wind Speed DIFF [m/a]





Dynamical Significance of the differences

• Coupling between SST gradients and near-surface wind response investigating the correlation between the high-frequency wind curl and divergence fields, and the cross-wind and down-wind SST gradients.

From O'NEILL, CHELTON, AND ESBENSEN, 2003



FIG. 3. Schematic of the hypothesized interaction between wind stress and SST for wind blowing obliquely across a meandering SST front. The SST front is delineated as the black sinusoidal curve, separating warm and cold water. The lengths of the arrows schematicallyrepresent the hypothesized relative magnitudes of the surface wind stress. Regions of nonzero wind stress curl and divergence are indicated.

- ASCAT/QuikSCAT comparison revisited
 - High-resolution daily SST product (OSTIA)
 - Using ASCAT bias-corrected data (+0.3m/s)
 - Computing WIND curl/divergence

– from orbital instead of gridded (averaged) wind components (Chelton et al, 2007) to preserve the signals of each meteorological event and to avoid introducing artifacts from computing gradients of averaged quantities over a number of different events.





What do we look at

- Use wind and not wind stress to decrease the impact of GMF differences
- Look at
 - Impact of sampling and resolution
 - Impact of retrieved speed
 - (limit the sample to wind in the range 5-15 m/s)
 - -Seasonal variability
 - Diurnal variability











In curvilinear natural coordinates

(e.g., Haltiner and Martin, 1957)





FIG. 7. Summary schematic of the vector wind response to meanders along an extratropical SST front, represented here by the solid curves, as deduced from the wind speed and direction dependencies on SST. Whereas the wind speed response to SST (as represented by the relative length of the vectors) is the same for both hemispheres, the wind direction response to SST (as represented by the relative turning of the vectors) differs in sign between the Northern and Southern Hemispheres.













ASCAT vs QuikSCAT with ASCAT sampling/res. Wind Curl; speed 5-15 m/s 1year – 2week av



-2.0 -1.5 -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 Colored WindDiv [1/s + 100000.0]; Contoured grdSSTalg [deg per 100.0 km] LEVELS = -1.35 -1.05 -0.75 -0.45 -0.15 0.45 0.75 1.05 1.35







ASCAT vs QuikSCAT with ASCAT sampling/res. Wind Curl; speed 5-15 m/s 1year – 2week av







QuikSCAT; 25 km resolution



PDF of the vorticity perturbation as a function of the crosswind SST gradients





QuikSCAT; 50km; ASCAT sampling



PDF of the vorticity perturbation as a function of the crosswind SST gradients





PDF of the vorticity perturbation as a function of the crosswind SST gradients



10/-6 ₽.



Summary

- ASCAT and QuikSCAT wind estimates differ from the ECMWF winds in a similar way
- However, ASCAT and QuikSCAT departures from ECMWF also differ
- In terms of the wind coupling with SST gradients, the differences in departure:
 - Have geographical, seasonal and diurnal variability
 - cannot be explained by the different instrument resolution and sampling
 - GMF difference does not seems to be the source either
 - ASCAT wind curl perturbations are associated with:
 - weaker crosswind speed gradients than QuikSCAT
 - Stronger downwind direction gradients
 - The ASCAT coupling between wind curl perturbations and cross-wind SST gradients is noisier than the QuikSCAT coupling
 - This is consistent with the stronger ASCAT directional response since the directional response is generally noisier

Backup

Southern Ocean - Full year (Mar. 2008 - Feb 2009); -55<Lat<-32; 0<Lon<355 Southern Ocean - Full year (Mar. 2008 - Feb 2009); -55<Lat<-32; 0<Lon<355 Southern Ocean - Full year (Mar. 2008 - Feb 2009); -55<Lat<-32; 0<Lon<359;

Southern Ocean - Full year (Mar. 2008 - Feb 2009); -55<Lat<-32; 0<Lon<359

Southern Ocean - Full year (Mar. 2008 - Feb 2009); -55<Lat<-32; 0<Lon<359;

Curl/Div on swath;

Southern Ocean - Full year (Mar. 2008 - Feb 2009); -55<Lat<-32; 0<Lon<355 Southern Ocean - Full year (Mar. 2008 - Feb 2009); -55<Lat<-32; 0<Lon<359;

Southern Ocean - Full year (Mar. 2008 - Feb 2009); -55<Lat<-32; 0<Lon<359;

0.000 0.100 0.200 0.300 0.400 0.500 0.700 0.700 1.000 1.250 1.500 3.000 (QSCAT-ECMWF)-(ASCAT-ECMWF); Magnitude of the Vector Wind Speed DIFF [m/s]

11.

ASCAT; Resolution=50km; Begin orbit 20081129; _2weeks07; Period =20; COMBINED_AsDes; ASCT WindRretrieval; DIRTH; ; ; bias0.3_2weeks

0.000 0.100 0.200 0.300 0.400 0.500 0.700 0.700 1.000 1.250 1.500 3.000 ASCAT-ECMWF; Magnitude of the Vector Wind Speed DIFF [m/s]

11.

Scatt; Resolution=; Begin orbit 00049192; _2weeks07; Period =20; COMBINED_AsDes; SCATmpBASE noRFonly; DIRTH; ; ; bigs0.0_ASCATsampling1_2weeks

0.000 0.100 0.200 0.300 0.400 0.500 0.700 0.700 1.000 1.250 1.500 3.000 0.000 QSCAT-ECMWF; Magnitude of the Vector Wind Speed DIFF [m/s]

11

0.000 0.100 0.200 0.300 0.400 0.500 0.700 0.700 1.000 1.250 1.500 3.000 (QSCAT-ECMWF)-(ASCAT-ECMWF); Magnitude of the Vector Wind Speed DIFF [m/s]

11