



Application of OSVW to Determine Wave Generation Areas

Joe Sienkiewicz, Ocean Prediction Center Greg McFadden, SAIC

Special thanks to: Keith Brill, Hydrometeorological Prediction Center Scott Jacobs, NCEP Central Operations Qi Zhu, NESDIS StAR



In search of wind fetch



- NOAA OSVW Analysis of Alternatives experience
 - justification of requirement for global OSVW
 - justification of requirement for full vector
 - **GOAL** objective method to estimate the magnitude of fetch for favored wave generation areas relative to <u>specific</u> coastal sites
 - Applicable to both NWP and gridded OSVW products
 - Use as a diagnostic by comparing remotely sensed and NWP sources
 Oixe for exectors are particulated in the sensed and NWP sources
 - Give forecasters an early indication of potential threat, validity of NWP wind and wave predictions







• Thoughts

- Forecasters rely <u>very</u> heavily on NWP sources for wave forecasts (weakness is NWP winds)
 - Present methods
 - subjective, limited scope (local)
 - inconsistent between offices/forecasters
- Observing network (buoys) focused on nearshore and coastal areas (limits warning time for U.S.; other areas - no warning)
- Opportunity to:
 - Optimize use of OSVW
 - Extend awareness of wave generation and threat potential seaward
 - Provide objective and consistent methodology to understand and estimate wind/wave system



Approach



- Develop a function in GEMPAK to calculate unit vectors of great circle paths emanating from a given Lat, Lon point (Great Circle Rays) (Completed)
 - Terminate rays when strike land (GEMPAK function) (Ongoing)
 - Apply unit vector field to gridded sources of wind (NWP and/or remotely sensed OSVW) to determine wind component opposing GC ray (site specific fetch) Sccr=GCr Vqscat Vqscat







GC Rays - completed





Wind Component

nor











Case Study - 1 North coast – Puerto Rico March 2008













Case Study - 1 North coast – Puerto Rico March 2008







Case Study - 1



North coast – Puerto Rico March 2008

Summary

- GFS and QSCAT winds indicate significant storm
- Differences in wind component magnitude throughout
- QSCAT tended to show stronger component over a larger area
- Application
 - Potential for larger waves,
 - Longer period of high waves?
 - timing of onset reasonable





Case Study - 2 North coast – New Guinea Dec. 2008



"Many houses were ruined by the violent waves. It happened all of a sudden," said

PACIFIC ISLANDS: Floods (as of 13 Dec 2008) one villager, 500 km MARSHALL ISLANDS Palikir FEDERATED STATES O Tarawa KIRIBATI SOLOMON SOUTH PACIFIC OCEAN Moresby Coràl Sec affected country High tides around 9 Dec caused damage to buildings, flooding and displacement of people



100.00 - 10.**0**0

12.00 -17.00 -23.00 -27.00 -33.99

47.99

+63.99 ↓75.00

KTS

Case Study - 2 North coast – New Guinea Dec. 2008

GFS Dec 04 1200 1009

GFS Déc 05 0000 f000 ∡

H3 081205/00000024 Hagnitude of Hind (KTS) component Against Great Circle Ray Peurto Rico HMM3 Magnitude of Hind (KTS) component Against Great Circle Ray Peurto Rico B30205/0000000 Magnitude of Hind (KTS) Component Against Great Circle Ray Peurto Rico



Case Study - 2 North coast – New Guinea Dec. 2008





Case Study - 2 North coast – New Guinea Dec. 2008







Case Study - 2



North coast – New Guinea Dec. 2008

Summary

- GFS and QSCAT wind components significantly different
- QSCAT, persistent storm to HF strength wind component, larger area of high winds
- Application
 - QSCAT threat significantly greater than GFS assessment
 - GFS missed intensity of event
 - GFS based NWW3 wave model underdone with timing, height of waves



Summary

- Potential as tool for wave generation areas
 OSVW
 - threat assessment
 - diagnostic in comparison with NWP
 - NWP (winds and waves)
 - Learning curve as how best to use
 - Possibilities
 - Distribute GC grids and technique to NWS WFOs
 - OPC web based for coastal areas of interest
 - OSVW and NWP
 - Guidance for OPC to better serve WFOs



•



Comparison – Puerto Rico Mar 2008



GFS VT 0000 UTC 18 Mar

QSCAT 0000 UTC 18 Mar



Why rip currents?

