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August 2002 Wind Stress Field from QuikSCAT
Overview

1) QuikSCAT observations of SST influence on surface winds in the California Current System (CCS).

2) COAMPS® 1-way coupled modeling of ocean-atmosphere interaction in the CCS.

3) Sensitivity studies of 2-way coupling with a “25-cent” empirical fully coupled model of an idealized CCS.
Small-scale structure is well developed in the California Current region during summer.
d) 5 September 2004, QuikSCAT and COAMPS SST
QuikSCAT 29-Day Average Centered on 5 September 2004

QuikSCAT resolution ~25 km (30-km gap near land)
Navy Coupled Ocean Data Assimilation (NCODA) SST grid resolution 9 km

5 September 2004, QuikSCAT and COAMPS SST
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C.I. = 0.03 N m\(^{-2}\), Heavy contour = 0.12 N m\(^{-2}\)

C.I. = 0.5\(^\circ\) C/100 km
The COAMPS® model underestimates the curl response by 14% and the divergence response by 26%.
- This may be attributable to inadequacies in the parameterization of vertical mixing.
COAMPS® Model Run with Two Different SST Boundary Conditions: NCODA SST and NOAA/RTG SST
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Conclusion from the 1-Way Modeling Studies:

The accuracy and resolution of the SST boundary condition are crucially important to realistic model simulations of surface wind response to SST.
Conclusion from the 1-Way Modeling Studies:

The accuracy and resolution of the SST boundary condition are crucially important to realistic model simulations of surface wind response to SST.

Note:

The sensitivity of vertical mixing to atmospheric stability is also crucially important to realistic model simulations of surface wind response to SST

- see Thursday afternoon presentation by Qingtao Song
Implications for Ocean Dynamics

Are feedback effects of SST-induced perturbations of the wind stress field onto the ocean important?

In other words, is the air-sea coupling 1-way or 2-way?

August 2002 Wind Stress Field from QuikSCAT
A “25-Cent” Empirical Coupled Model

(Xin et al., manuscript submitted to J. Phys. Oceanogr.)

• Based on the ROMS model for the ocean and QuikSCAT-based empirical coupling coefficients for feedback effects on the ocean.

• The procedure consists of forcing the ocean model with large-scale winds and then correcting the winds to include small-scale SST-induced perturbations.

• The results presented here are for an idealized rectangular domain with a meridional eastern boundary.

  - more sophisticated model runs are under development for a realistic California Current System and Peru-Chile Current System.
Overview of Model Results

- The wind stress is initially -0.07 N m$^{-2}$ and uniform equatorward.
- The cold upwellled water at the coast generates a crosswind SST gradient that creates a nearshore positive wind stress curl.
- This reduces the coastal upwelling but creates nearshore Ekman pumping.
- The broadening of the nearshore upwelling reduces the intensity of the alongshore SST front, thus slowing the development of baroclinic instability and weakening the mesoscale eddy field.
- The nearshore positive wind stress curl also:
  - causes the equatorward surface current to become shallower and weaker
  - broadens and increases the transport of the poleward undercurrent by Sverdrup dynamics.
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Figure 5: Cross-shore sections of $T\text{[C]}$ and $v\text{[cm s}^{-1}\text{]}$, averaged alongshore and between days 40-80: (left) uncoupled, (middle) coupled, and (right) their difference.
Figures 3 and 4: Area-averaged surface kinetic energy (KE), \( \frac{1}{2} (u^2 + v^2) \). Dashed and solid lines are for uncoupled and coupled cases, respectively. The initial KE value is 0.0016 m\(^2\) s\(^{-2}\).

Note the weaker cross-shore gradient of SST and the weaker eddy kinetic energy in the coupled model run.
In the coupled simulation, cyclonic eddies (red) are weakened and there is a much greater abundance of anticyclonic eddies (blue).
The SST signature of cyclonic eddies is typically about 3 times stronger than that of anticyclonic eddies as a consequence of hydrostatic thermal wind balance:

\[ \Rightarrow \text{cyclonic vortices have larger SST and SSH extrema and smaller radial scale} \]

The associated stronger SST gradients generate stronger wind stress curl perturbations that act to force the eddy away from its axisymmetric shape, which is a disruptive force to further evolution.
Conclusions

- The SST influence on surface winds results in O(1) perturbations of the wind stress curl field that generates open-ocean upwelling.

- This ocean influence on the atmosphere is well represented in the COAMPS model run in an uncoupled configuration.

- Results from a “25-cent” fully coupled model of an idealized eastern boundary current upwelling regime conclude that:
  - The cold upwelled water at the coast causes the nearshore winds to diminish, generating a nearshore positive wind stress curl that:
    1) weakens the equatorward surface current
    2) strengthens the poleward undercurrent
    3) weakens the alongshore SST front
    4) slows the development of baroclinic instability and weakens the mesoscale eddy field
  - The coupling over oceanic eddies preferentially weakens cyclonic eddies, thus increasing the abundance of anticyclonic eddies.
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SST influence in the California Current region is weak during winter

QuikSCAT, January–February 2003
Small-scale structure is well developed in the California Current region during summer.
Observations and 1-Way Coupled Modeling of Ocean-Atmosphere Interaction in the California Current System
QuikSCAT 29-Day Average Centered on 5 September 2004

QuikSCAT resolution ~25 km (30-km gap near land)
Navy Coupled Ocean Data Assimilation (NCODA) SST grid resolution 9 km

d) 5 September 2004, QuikSCAT and COAMPS SST
COAMPS® Model Run with Two Different SST Boundary Conditions: NCODA SST and NOAA/RTG SST
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The coupling coefficients are nearly identical for both model runs, indicating that they are an intrinsic measure of the boundary layer dynamics within the model. - A given SST anomaly therefore generates a given wind stress response, regardless of the accuracy and resolution of that SST anomaly.