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Climatologies based on 7 month average:
October ‘07 to May ‘08

Stresses calculated from L2 wind products and the same drag coefficient

The overall pattern is similar, but differences exist.

However, most of these differences are due to diurnal variability, since they are also present in ECMWF
Removing ECMWF field at the time of collection removes diurnal variability captured by ECMWF.

Some unmodeled diurnal variability may remain!
“Naïve” QuikSCAT & Ascat “Climatology”
Stress Differences
How are differences with ECMWF aligned?

Colors represent cosine of the angle between the difference and the mean wind.

**Red**: corrections is in the same sense as the wind.

**Blue**: correction is opposite the wind

**White**: correction turns the wind
How are differences between Ascat & QuikSCAT aligned?

The relative difference between Ascat and QuikSCAT is generally in the same direction, but QuikSCAT is stronger than Ascat.

A relative speed bias is a possibility…
• QuikSCAT nominally measures neutral winds (but needs further investigation…)

• Portabella and Stoffelen* suggest that, to a good approximation, neutral 10m winds can be obtained by adding a bias (~0.2m/s) to the Ascat wind product

• Can this fix observed differences?
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Tropical Pacific Example

Equatorial Pacific (QuaSCAT - ECMWF) - (Ascat - ECMWF)
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Tropical Pacific Example
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Rain contamination?
Tropical Pacific Example
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Residual Unmodeled Diurnal Variability?
What’s up with Western South America?

South America (Qscat - ECMWF) - (Ascat - ECMWF)
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Tentative Conclusions

- QuikSCAT and ASCAT agree better with each other than with ECMWF
  - This is confirmed by correlations of coincident data
- In order to get a consistent data set, the right quantities must be compared
  - Neutral winds or stress
- A simple relative speed bias correction significantly improves the agreement between QuikSCAT and ASCAT climatologies
  - The correction is close to the Portabella Stoffelen 0.2 m/s
  - Does QuikSCAT also need a correction?
- Residual geographically correlated differences remain
  - Source not yet fully understood
  - SST may play a role
- **Extreme care must be taken when comparing ocean models driven by QuikSCAT, ASCAT, or ECMWF!**